CALIFORNIA EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY (Cal EMA) LAW ENFORCEMENT AND VICTIM SERVICES (LEVS) DIVISION Cal MMET PROGRAM – PERFORMANCE SITE VISIT

GRANT NUMBER GRANT AWARD PERIOD HH H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H			_			
PROGRAM NAME: PROJECT TITLE: Cal MMET Cal MMET San Francisco 2009 1) ADMINISTRATIVE AGENCY: City and County of San Francisco San Francisco Police Department Captain Denis O'Leary Address: Phone: 4) PROJECT COORDINATOR: Alternate Contact (Designee): Date of Visit: June 3, 2009 Visit Conducted By: Sandra L. Fletcher PERSON(S) INTERVIEWED/CONTACTED DURING THE VISITATION Date Name Title within Agency Sgt Financial Office	ublic Safety Br	anch		Drug B	Enforcement Section	Χ
PROGRAM NAME: PROJECT TITLE: Cal MMET Cal MMET San Francisco 2009 1) ADMINISTRATIVE AGENCY: City and County of San Francisco San Francisco Police Department Captain Denis O'Leary Address: Phone: 4) PROJECT COORDINATOR: Alternate Contact (Designee): Date of Visit: June 3, 2009 Visit Conducted By: Sandra L. Fletcher PERSON(S) INTERVIEWED/CONTACTED DURING THE VISITATION Date Name Title within Agency Job Title (Project) June 3 Sgt Financial Office	ODAN'T	MINDED	LODA	NT AWARD DEDICE	L ODANIT AWADD AMO	
PROGRAM NAME: PROJECT TITLE: Cal MMET San Francisco 2009 1) ADMINISTRATIVE AGENCY: City and County of San Francisco San Francisco Police Department Captain Denis O'Leary Address: Phone: 4) PROJECT COORDINATOR: Alternate Contact (Designee): Date of Visit: June 3, 2009 Visit Conducted By: Sandra L. Fletcher PERSON(S) INTERVIEWED/CONTACTED DURING THE VISITATION Date Name Title within Agency Sgt Financial Office		REAL PROPERTY AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY AND ADD	0710			UN
PROJECT TITLE: Cal MMET San Francisco 2009 1) ADMINISTRATIVE AGENCY: City and County of San Francisco 2) IMPLEMENTING AGENCY: San Francisco Police Department 3) PROJECT DIRECTOR: Captain Denis O'Leary Address: Phone: 4) PROJECT COORDINATOR: Alternate Contact (Designee): Date of Visit: June 3, 2009 Visit Conducted By: Sandra L. Fletcher PERSON(S) INTERVIEWED/CONTACTED DURING THE VISITATION Date Name Title within Agency Job Title (Project) June 3 Sgt Financial Office			10110		1 4 117) to F	
1) ADMINISTRATIVE AGENCY: City and County of San Francisco 2) IMPLEMENTING AGENCY: San Francisco Police Department 3) PROJECT DIRECTOR: Captain Denis O'Leary Address: Phone: 4) PROJECT COORDINATOR: Alternate Contact (Designee): Date of Visit: June 3, 2009 Visit Conducted By: Sandra L. Fletcher PERSON(S) INTERVIEWED/CONTACTED DURING THE VISITATION Date Name Title within Agency Job Title (Project) June 3 Sgt Financial Office						
2) IMPLEMENTING AGENCY: San Francisco Police Department Captain Denis O'Leary Address: Phone: 4) PROJECT COORDINATOR: Alternate Contact (Designee): Date of Visit: June 3, 2009 Visit Conducted By: Sandra L. Fletcher PERSON(S) INTERVIEWED/CONTACTED DURING THE VISITATION Date Name Title within Agency June 3 Sgt Financial Office	PROJECT TITLE		Cal MN	IET San Francisco 2009		
Address: Phone: Phone: 4) PROJECT COORDINATOR: Alternate Contact (Designee): Date of Visit: June 3, 2009 Visit Conducted By: Sandra L. Fletcher PERSON(S) INTERVIEWED/CONTACTED DURING THE VISITATION Date Name Title within Agency June 3 Sgt Financial Office	1) ADMINISTR	RATIVE AGENCY:	City and	d County of San Francis	СО	
Address: Phone: 4) PROJECT COORDINATOR: Alternate Contact (Designee): Date of Visit: June 3, 2009 Visit Conducted By: Sandra L. Fletcher PERSON(S) INTERVIEWED/CONTACTED DURING THE VISITATION Date Name Title within Agency Job Title (Project) June 3 Sgt Financial Office	2) IMPLEMEN	TING AGENCY:	San Fra	ancisco Police Departme	ent	
Phone: (4) PROJECT COORDINATOR: Alternate Contact (Designee): Date of Visit: June 3, 2009	(3) PROJECT [DIRECTOR:	Captair	n Denis O'Leary		
(4) PROJECT COORDINATOR: Alternate Contact (Designee): Date of Visit: June 3, 2009		Address:				
4) PROJECT COORDINATOR: Alternate Contact (Designee): Date of Visit: June 3, 2009 Visit Conducted By: Sandra L. Fletcher PERSON(S) INTERVIEWED/CONTACTED DURING THE VISITATION Date Name Title within Agency Job Title (Project) June 3 Sgt Financial Office		Phone:	·			
Alternate Contact (Designee): Date of Visit: June 3, 2009 Visit Conducted By: Sandra L. Fletcher PERSON(S) INTERVIEWED/CONTACTED DURING THE VISITATION Date Name Title within Agency Job Title (Project) Sgt Financial Office		i none.			1 2	
June 3 Sgt Financial Office						
		Name				t)
June 3 Lieutenant Daily programmatic		<u> </u>	1			
	Julie 3	-		Lieuteriant	Daily programman	
						-
						2
	Signature of Cal	EMA Representati	ve Cond	ucting the Visit	Date	
Signature of Cal EMA Representative Conducting the Visit Date						
Signature of Cal EMA Representative Conducting the Visit Date						
Signature of Cal EMA Representative Conducting the Visit Date				- 01 		
	Signature of Sec	ction Chief		21 1/2	Date	
	2010 08-09	- COIMMET Pro	aram. S	oan Francisco Site Vi	SIT VEGOVT	
	2010_00		1	1 0 1	1 2/2/10	
			Sei	inned & muanded	y // //50/10	

ANTI-DRUG ABUSE PROGRAM PERFORMANCE SITE VISIT FORM

l.	PRO	OGR	AMMATIC REVIEW			
					NO	N/A
	A.	Ge	neral	*		
		1.	Does the project being visited fit within one of the following categories? (check only one) [✓]	X		
			2 nd Year; X 3 rd Year; Over three years, (Please specify) years.			
		2.	Operational Documentation			
			Does the project have current versions of the following:			
			a. Recipient Handbook	X		
			b. Program Guidelines			X
			c. Grant Award Agreement	X		
		3.	Goals, Objectives, and Project Activities (Review the project's responses to the goals, objectives, Award Agreement.)	and activit	ies of the	Grant
			a. Has there been any significant changes in the way the project implements or sustains the objectives and activities of this program? If yes, has the project discussed the possibility of submitting a grant award modification?	X		
			b. Is the project making satisfactory progress toward achieving the goals and objectives? If not, please explain.	X		
		4.	Progress Reporting (Review the progress report format, content and submissi	ion require	ements.)	
			a. Has the project submitted all required reports on time? If not, please explain.	X		
			b. Has the project kept accurate source documentation to support statistical data on the progress report?	X		

ANTI-DRUG ABUSE PROGRAM PERFORMANCE SITE VISIT FORM

PROGRA	AMM	ATIC REVIEW (continued)			
			YES	NO	N/A
5.	(Re	grammatic Source Documentation view documents maintained by the project that represers reports.)	sents data	reported (on
	a.	Has the project developed an information retrieval system that provides accurate data? (This system may be automated or manual.)	X		
	b.	Does the project use data summary sheets, actual case records, or other concrete documents that validate project performance?	X		
6.	(As	ject Staff Duties & Responsibilities sure that project staff have made other project staff a visitation.)	vailable fo	r interview	rs during
	a.	Have all grant funded project staff positions been filled? If no, please explain.	X		
	b.	Are job descriptions "project specific", rather than a copy of the "county" local agency job classification/position description?	X		
	c.	Do project staff meet all special skill certifications required?	X		
	d.	Are staff performing duties discussed in the Grant Award Agreement?	X		
	e.	Have project staff assumed duties for more than one Cal EMA-funded project? If yes, please explain.	X		
	f.	Are there any programmatic problems that are unique to this project? If yes, please explain.		X	
7.	Pro	gram Specific Requirements			
	a.	Are Project Income Reporting forms completed and mailed to Cal EMA on a quarterly basis? (Only required if asset forfeiture funds are received and/or expended.)	X		
	b.	Is a copy of the signed DEC Protocol MOU in file?	X		

CALIFORNIA MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL METHAMPHETAMINE ENFORCEMENT TEAM PROGRAM – PERFORMANCE SITE VISIT

l.	PR	OGR	AMM	ATIC REVIEW (continued)			
					YES	NO	N/A
			C.	Are there outstanding issues related to carrying out the DEC Protocol requirements? If yes, pleas explain.	X		
			d.	Is a copy of the Operational Agreement in file?		X	
			e.	Is the Operational Agreement dated and signed be all participating agencies? If no, please explain. According to Sgt. Collins, the prior specialist waived the requirement of the OA – see attached		X	
II.	AD	MINIS	STRA	TIVE REVIEW			
	A.	Gei	neral				
		1.	Pro	gram Files			
			a.	ls the project familiar with preparation requirement for the following frequently used OES forms:	S	* 1	
				(1) OES Form 223, Grant Award Modification(2) OES Form 201, Report of Expenditures and Request for Funds	X		
		2.	Per	sonnel Policies			
			a.	Are written personnel policies in place and availab to all employees?	le X		
			b.	Do the policies discuss work hours, compensation rates, including overtime, and benefits; vacation, sick, or other leave allowances, hiring and promotional policies?	X		
	В.	Fin	ancia	Requirements			
		1.	Fur	ctional Time Sheets			
			a.	Does the project use a Functional Time Sheet for all project positions employed less-than fulltime?	X		
			b.	Are functional time sheets completed correctly?	X		

CALIFORNIA MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL METHAMPHETAMINE ENFORCEMENT TEAM PROGRAM – PERFORMANCE SITE VISIT

II.	ADI	MINIS	TRATIVE REVIEW (continued)			
				YES	NO	N/A
			c. Is the percent (%) of time project staff spend on other non-project duties being tracked? (If no, provide a discussion concerning any recommendations made to the project.)			X
		2.	Duties of the Financial Officer			
			a. Has the project taken steps to assure that the duties of the financial officer are separate from that of the bookkeeper and project director? (separation of duties)	X		
			b. Do the financial officer and project director interact successfully on project expenditure decisions?	X		
	C.	Fina	ancial Source Documentation			
		1.	Does the project maintain updated budget pages on all approved grant award modifications?	X		
		2.	Does the project maintain Confidential funds? If so, are protective safeguards and policies in place? Describe:	X		
		3.	If project income is acquired, is it tracked and reported? If no, please explain.		X	
		4.	Has the project submitted Reports of Expenditures on time?	X		
		5.	Are there other issues concerning project expenditures and reporting? If so, please explain.		X	
	D.	Equ	ipment			
		1.	Acquisition			
			a. Are equipment purchases authorized budget items?			X
			b. Was equipment purchased in accordance with the Grant Award Agreement?			X

CALIFORNIA MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL METHAMPHETAMINE ENFORCEMENT TEAM PROGRAM – PERFORMANCE SITE VISIT

II.	ADMINIS	TRA	TIVE REVIEW (continued)		I	
				YES	NO	N/A
	E. Stat	:e/Fe	deral Administrative Requirements			
	1.		ndated State and Federal Programs termine whether or not the following documents are p	oosted at t	he site vis	ited)
		a.	A current Equal Employment Opportunity (EEO) Policy Statement?	X		•
		b.	A current "Harassment or Discrimination in Employment is Prohibited by Law" poster?	X		
		c.	A current Drug-Free Workplace Policy statement?	X		
		d.	Documentation of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) on file?	X		
III.		Accessor to the second	MATIC, ADMINISTRATIVE, AND FINANCIAL DISCU ummary of observations, findings, and recommen		made dur	ing the
	Confider	ntial I	s present and had all source documentation available Funds source documentation for control buys and pay see attached.			
	Discuss	ed la	te submission of the last two Progress Reports.	* .	licated that	at he has

Discussed late submission of the last two Progress Reports. dicated that he has been overwhelmed by a lot of work and indicated he would not allow a late submission in the future.

Also discussed that the DA's office has not prosecuted DEC cases in the last 5 years. Talked about future requirements relative to developing a stronger DEC MOU.

This particular grant funds only overtime and Confidential Funds. Therefore, the percentage that staff spend on other projects is not tracked. ADA pays for the staff's regular salary and Cal MMET pays overtime.